

**From:** Ellen Schmitt/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 3/8/2012 5:48:26 PM  
**To:** Dawn Ioven/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: Triggers for Analytes

Hi Dawn,

When you have a moment, could you forward your email again. The risk-based triggers document wasn't attached in the original email.

Thanks!  
Ellen

From: Dawn Ioven/R3/USEPA/US  
To: Lora Werner/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Robert Helverson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Ellen Schmitt/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/06/2012 02:21 PM  
Subject: Fw: Triggers for Analytes

FYI - The attachment contains the risk-based triggers that I've provided thus far for Dimock. Most of the triggers are based on an excess cancer risk of 1E-04 or an HQ of 1. Any questions, please let me know. Thanks.

Dawn

---

Dawn A. Ioven, toxicologist  
U.S. EPA - Region III  
(3HS41)  
1650 Arch street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
215.814.3320

----- Forwarded by Dawn Ioven/R3/USEPA/US on 03/06/2012 02:19 PM -----

From: Dawn Ioven/R3/USEPA/US  
To: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/16/2012 04:18 PM  
Subject: Triggers for Analytes

Hi, Rich. I've added a few dozen more screening values to the analyte table you sent last week. Sorry this is going so slow, but this is a very tedious task. Fortunately, 80 percent or more of the chemicals on this analyte list probably won't be detected in gw at the site, and we've already established triggers for the likely contaminants.

One last thought. As you know, sometimes determining if a problem exists is not always as simple as comparing numbers. So, if a result seems puzzling or alarming, give me a call and we can discuss. Thanks.

Dawn

[attachment "Dimock\_Analyte List revised di.xlsx" deleted by Dawn Ioven/R3/USEPA/US]

---

Dawn A. Ioven, toxicologist  
U.S. EPA - Region III  
(3HS41)

1650 Arch street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
215.814.3320